arXiv:math/0510077v2 [math.PR] 5 Oct 2005

ON INVARIANCE OF DOMAINS WITH SMOOTH BOUNDARIES WITH RESPECT TO STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Vitalii A. Gasanenko

Abstract. We prove constructible sufficient conditions of lack of exit by solutions of stochastic differential Ito's equations from domains with smooth boundaries.

Consider a stochastic differential equation for process $\xi(t) \in R^n$.

$$d\xi(t) = a(t,\xi)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(t,\xi)dw_k, \quad \xi(0) = \xi_0 \tag{1}$$

here

$a(t,x) := (a_i(t,x),\ 1 \le i \le n), \quad b_k(t,x) := (b_{ki}(x),\ 1 \le i \le n),\ x \in R^n.$

It is assumed that there is such constant L that for functions $b_{ij}(t,x),\ a_i(t,x)$ the following conditions take place

$$|a(s,x) - a(s,y)| + \sum_{k}^{n} |b_k(s,x) - b_k(s,y)| \le L|x-y|,$$

[0]This research was supported (in part) by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, project No 01.07/103.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60 J 60.

Key words and phrases. Diffusion processes, invariant sets, Ostrogradskii - Gauss theorem.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

$$|a(s,x)|^2 + \sum_k^n |b_k(s,x)|^2 \le L^2(1+|x|^2). \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in R^n$.

Here $|\cdot|$, be norm (length) of vector.

It follows from [7, p.480] that this is sufficient conditions for existence of unique solution of (1).

Let there be given a measurable set $K \in R^n$. A set K is said to be invariant set of equation (1) , if under condition $P(\xi_0 \in K) = 1$ the following equalities hold

$$P(\xi(t) \in K) = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge 0. \tag{3}$$

The property (3) of trajectories of solution of (1) sometimes is called viability. The necessary and sufficient conditions of viability was proved for the first time in [1]. Such conditions was proved for more general constructions of equations in [2]. The methods of investigations of these articles are different but the set K is the same: it is convex and closure.

This problem was reduced to viability of ordinary differential equations with help approximation theorems Ikeda- Nakao- Yamato for homogeneous stochastic differential equations in [4].

The conditions of viability were formulated in terms of asymptotic behavior of distance to considered closed set. The analogy conditions of viability were proved for inhomogeneous stochastic differential equations and relative closed sets in [5]. We observe that test of conditions in terms of distance to sets requires the additional investigations. They are checked for convex sets

effectively. For example, it was done in [5].

It was proved necessary and sufficient conditions or only sufficient

conditions of viadility (3) in [3,6] by probabilistic methods for the specific domains K.

Our purpose is to obtain verifiable sufficient conditions of viability (3) for domains with smooth boundaries. Our method of investigation be different from other. It is based on the use of Ostrogradskii- Gauss theorem.

Consider a closed set K in R^n with boundary S(or ∂K).

Let $U(x,r)$ denote open ball with center in point x and with radius r. The union of balls with centers in K is called ϵ - neighborhood K_ϵ of the set K : $K_\epsilon = \bigcup\limits_{x\in K} U(x,\epsilon)$. We will denote by S_ϵ the boundary of K_ϵ.

We introduce the following function

$$\omega_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} c_\epsilon e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2-|x|^2}}, & \text{if } |x|\le\epsilon, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x|>\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

The constant is choosed c_ϵ such that the following equality holds
$\int \omega_\epsilon(x)dx = 1$.
Thus

$$c_\epsilon\epsilon^n \int\limits_{|\xi|<1} e^{-\frac{1}{1-|\xi|^2}}\,d\xi = 1.$$

If $\chi(\cdot)$ be characteristic function of set $K_{2\epsilon}$ then for any $\epsilon>0$ the function

$$\eta_\epsilon(x) = \int \chi(z)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)dz.$$

satisfies the following relations [8, p.89]:

$$0\le\eta_\epsilon(x)\le 1, \quad \eta_\epsilon(x)=1,\ x\in K_\epsilon,$$

$$\eta_\epsilon(x)=0,\ x\notin K_{3\epsilon}, \quad \eta_\epsilon(x)\in C^\infty(R^n), \quad |\eta_\epsilon^{(\alpha)}(x)|\le L_\alpha\epsilon^{-|\alpha|}. \tag{4}$$

The next statement follows from the axiom of continuity:

Statement. *If ζ be random vector in space R^n , then the following representation takes place*

$$E\eta_\epsilon(\zeta) = P\left(\zeta\in K\right) + l_\epsilon, \quad \textit{where} \quad l_\epsilon\ge 0,\ l_\epsilon\to 0,\ \textit{when } \epsilon\to\infty. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 1. *If $P(\xi(0) \in K) = 1$ and for some number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and any numbers $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ the following inequality takes place*

$$E\eta_\epsilon(\xi(t)) \geq E\eta_\epsilon(\xi(0)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

then the following equality is true
$P(\xi(t) \in K) = 1, \quad t \geq 0.$

Proof. Let the condition of Lemma be fulfilled but statement of Lemma don't fulfill. If statement of Lemma don't fulfill then there exists such t_* that

$$P(\xi(t_*) \in K) < 1 \tag{6}.$$

Futher according to the statement (5) and the condition of Lemma 1 we have the the following inequality in point t_*

$$P(\xi(t_*) \in K) + l_{3,\epsilon} \geq P(\xi(0) \in K) + l_{2,\epsilon}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we arrive at

$$P(\xi(t_*) \in K) \geq P(\xi(0) \in K) = 1.$$

The latter one contradicts to (6). This contradiction proves the Lemma 1.

We make the following assumption: the boundary of ∂K_ϵ belongs to class C^l, when the following condition of smoothness of boundary of K_ϵ holds for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ under small $\epsilon_0 > 0$. The intersection of boundary of set K_ϵ with ball $U(x, \epsilon)$, $x \in K_{2\epsilon}$:

$$\triangle_\epsilon(x) := \bar{U}(x, \epsilon) \cap \partial K_\epsilon$$

is surface whose equation in local coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$ with origin of coordinates in point $x_0 \in \triangle_\epsilon(x)$ has form $y_n = \varphi(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$.

The function φ belongs to class C^l in region $\bar{D}_\epsilon$, which is projection of $\triangle_\epsilon(x)$ on the plane $y_n = 0$.

Let us denote by $\nu(z) = (\nu_i(z), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ the unit vector of external normal to boundary S in point $z \in S$.

It is known, that if surface is given by relation $Q(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0$, here $Q(\cdot)$

be smooth function, then the unit vector of normal $\vec{n}$ has the following form

$$\vec{n} = (Q_{y_i} / \sqrt{\sum_k Q_{y_k}^2},\ i = \overline{1,n}).$$

Thus, if the φ is differentiable ,then the next reprezentation for $\nu(z)$ takes place locally

$$\nu(z) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum\limits_{i \le n-1} \varphi_{y_i}^2}}, \frac{-\varphi_{y_k}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum\limits_{i \le n-1} \varphi_{y_i}^2}},\ k = \overline{1, n-1} \right).$$

Suppose now that the bondary $K_\epsilon, \epsilon_0 \ge \epsilon \ge 0$ under some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ belongs to class C^2.

Theorem 1. *If the following conditions are fulfilled*

1. The functions $a(t,x), b_k(t,x), 1 \le k \le n$, in addition to properties (2), under fixed t belong according to classes $C^2(R^n)$, $C^3(R^n)$.

2. $\sup\limits_{s \ge 0} \sup\limits_{z \in S_\epsilon} \left(\sum\limits_i b_{ji}(s,z) \nu_i(z) \right) = o(\epsilon),\ \epsilon \to 0. \quad 1 \le j \le n, \quad s \ge 0;$

3. $\overline{\lim\limits_{\epsilon \to 0}} \sup\limits_{s \ge 0} \sup\limits_{z \in S_\epsilon} \left(\sum\limits_i a_i(s,z) \nu_i(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum\limits_{i,j,k} \frac{\partial b_{ki}(s,z)}{\partial z_j} \nu_i(z) b_{kj}(s,z) \right) < 0.$

then (3) takes place.

Proof. Applying the Ito's formula, we get the following equality

$$E\eta_\epsilon(\xi(t)) - E\eta_\epsilon(\xi(0)) = E \int_0^t A\eta_\epsilon(\xi(s)) ds.$$

Here

$$A := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(s,x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(s,x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

The matrix $\sigma(s,x) = (\sigma_{ij}(x),\ 1 \le i,j \le n)$, is defined in the following way

$$\sigma(s,x) = B^T(s,x)B(s,x), \quad B(s,x) := (b_{ki}(s,x), 1 \le k,i \le n).$$

According to the Lemma 1 and definition of function $\eta_\epsilon(x)$ for proof of invariance of set K it suffices to prove the next inequality

$$A\eta_\epsilon(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in (K_{3\epsilon} \setminus K_\epsilon), \quad s \ge 0.$$

It is not difficult to check the following properties of function $\omega_\epsilon(x-z)$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}(\omega_\epsilon(x-z)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\omega_\epsilon(x-z));\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(\omega_\epsilon(x-z)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\omega_\epsilon(x-z));$$

$$\omega_\epsilon(x-z)|_{|x-z|=\epsilon} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\omega_\epsilon(x-z))|_{|x-z|=\epsilon} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\omega_\epsilon(x-z))|_{|x-z|=\epsilon} = 0.$$

We define set

$$K_\epsilon(x) := \{z :\ |z-x| \le \epsilon \cap (K_{3\epsilon} \setminus K_\epsilon)\}.$$

Further, applying the properties of function $\omega_\epsilon(x)$ and Taylor-series expansion of functions $a_i(s,x),\ \sigma_{ij}(s,x)$ in point z we get

$$A\eta_\epsilon(x) = \Big(\sum_i a_i(s,x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\sigma_{ij}(s,x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\Big)\int\limits_{R^n} \chi(z)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)dz =$$

$$= -\int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_i \Bigg(a_i(s,z) + \sum_k \frac{\partial a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_k}(x_k - z_k) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,j}\frac{\partial^2 a_i(s,\theta(x,z))}{\partial z_k \partial z_j}(x_k - z_k)(x_j - z_j)\Bigg)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\omega_\epsilon(x-z)dz +$$

$$+\int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\left(\sigma_{ij}(s,z)+\sum_k \frac{\partial\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k}(x_k-z_k)+\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,m}\frac{\partial^2\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k\partial z_m}(x_k-z_k)(x_m-z_m)+$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{6}\sum_{k,m,l}\frac{\partial^3\sigma_{ij}(s,\theta_1(x,z))}{\partial z_k\partial z_m\partial z_l}(x_k-z_k)(x_m-z_m)(x_l-z_l)\right)\frac{\partial^2\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i\partial z_j}dz=$$

$$=-\int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)}\sum_i\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(a_i(s,z)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\right)-\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{\partial a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_i}+$$

$$+\sum_k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left((x_k-z_k)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{\partial a_i(s,\theta(x,z))}{\partial z_k}\right)+\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_i}-$$

$$-\sum_k(x_k-z_k)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{\partial^2 a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_i\partial z_k}+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,j}\frac{\partial^2 a_i(s,\theta(x,z))}{\partial z_k\partial z_j}(x_k-z_k)(x_j-z_j)\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i}\Big\}dz+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)}\sum_{i,j}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(\sigma_{ij}(s,z)\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon}{\partial z_j}\right)-$$

$$-\frac{\partial\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}+\sum_k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left((x_k-z_k)\frac{\partial\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}\right)+$$

$$+\frac{\partial\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}-\sum_k(x_k-z_k)\frac{\partial^2\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i\partial z_k}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,m}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left((x_k-z_k)(x_m-z_m)\frac{\partial^2\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k\partial z_m}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}\right)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m}(x_m-z_m)\frac{\partial^2\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i\partial z_m}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}(x_k-z_k)\frac{\partial^2\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k\partial z_i}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}-$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,m}(x_k-z_k)(x_m-z_m)\frac{\partial^3\sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i\partial z_k\partial z_m}\frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j}+$$

$$+\sum_{k,m,l}\frac{\partial^3\sigma_{ij}(s,\theta_1(x,z))}{\partial x_k\partial x_m\partial x_l}(x_k-z_k)(x_m-z_m)(x_l-z_l)\frac{\partial^2\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i\partial z_j}\Big\}dz. \qquad (7)$$

Here $\theta(x,z)=z+\theta(x-z)$, $\theta_1(x,z)=z+\theta_1(x-z)$; $0\le\theta_1,\theta\le 1$.

Applying Ostrogradskii - Gauss theorem we transform some integrals in right part of (7) to integrals on the surface $\triangle_\epsilon(x)$. Further, we obtain the estimate of smallness for some surface integrals and the volume integrals.

We set

$$f_\epsilon(i,x,z)=\frac{2\epsilon^2(x_i-z_i)}{(\epsilon^2-|x-z|^2)^2},\quad \text{now}\quad \frac{\partial\omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i}=f_\epsilon(i,x,z)\omega_\epsilon(x-z).$$

So

$$\int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)}\sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(a_i(s,z)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\right)dz=\int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)}\sum_i a_i(s,z)\nu_i(z)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)d\beta_z. \qquad (8)$$

We make use of Cuachy- unyakovskii inequality in (9) and later on.

$$\Big|\int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)}\sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(\sum_k(x_k-z_k)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{\partial a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_k}\right)\Big|=$$

$$= | \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_i \nu_i(z) \sum_k (x_k - z_k)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{\partial a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_k} d\beta_z | \leq$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sqrt{\sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_k \left(\sum_i \nu_i(z) \frac{\partial a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_k} \right)^2} \omega_\epsilon(x-z) d\beta_z \leq \epsilon c_1,$$

$$c_1 < \infty. \tag{9}$$

$$| \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_i \sum_k (x_k - z_k)\omega_\epsilon(x-z)\frac{\partial^2 a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k} dz | \leq$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sqrt{\sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_k \left(\sum_i \frac{\partial^2 a_i(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k} \right)^2} \omega_\epsilon(x-z) dz \leq \epsilon c_2,$$

$$c_2 < \infty. \tag{10}$$

$$| \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{k,j} \frac{\partial^2 a_i(\theta(x,z))}{\partial z_k \partial z_j} (x_k - z_k)(x_j - z_j) \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i} dz | \leq$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sqrt{\sum_{j,k,l} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_i(s,\theta(x,z))}{\partial z_k \partial z_j} \right)^2} \sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2 \times$$

$$\times \sqrt{\sum_i f_\epsilon^2(i,x,z)} \omega_\epsilon(x-z) dz \leq \epsilon c_3, \quad c_3 < \infty. \tag{11}$$

We exploit the condition (2) for estimate in (12)

$$| \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\sigma_{ij}(s,z) \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon}{\partial z_j} \right) dz | = \frac{1}{2} | \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_i \nu_i(z) \sigma_{ij}(s,z) \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon}{\partial z_j} d\beta_z | =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}| \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_k \sum_i b_{ki}(s,z)\nu_i(z) \sum_j b_{kj}(s,z) \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon}{\partial z_j} d\beta_z| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}| \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} |\sum_i b_{ki}(s,z)\nu_i(z)| \sqrt{\sum_j b^2_{kj}(s,z)} \sqrt{\sum_j f^2_\epsilon(j,x,z)} \times$$

$$\times \omega_\epsilon(x-z) d\beta_z = o(1). \tag{12}$$

We exploit the relation $\sigma_{ij}(s,z) = \sigma_{ji}(s,z)$ for estimate in (13)

$$\frac{1}{2}| \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{i,j} \Big(-\sum_k (x_k - z_k) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k} \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_m (x_m - z_m) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_m} \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (x_k - z_k) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k \partial z_i} \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j} \Big) dz| =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}| \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{i,j} \nu_j(z) \Big(-\sum_k (x_k - z_k) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_m (x_m - z_m) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_m} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (x_k - z_k) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k \partial z_i} \Big) \omega_\epsilon(x-z) d\beta_z +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{i,j} \Big(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_j \partial z_i} \Big) \omega_\epsilon(x-z) dz +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{i,k,j} (x_k - z_k) \Big(-\frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_j \partial z_i \partial z_k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_j \partial z_i \partial z_k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_j \partial z_k \partial z_i} \Big) \omega_\epsilon(x-z) dz| \leq$$

$$\leq \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sqrt{\sum_j \nu_j^2(z)} \sqrt{\sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k} \Big(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k)} \Big)^2} \omega_\epsilon(x-z)dz +$$

$$+ \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sqrt{\sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k} \Big(\frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_j \partial z_i \partial z_k)} \Big)^2} \omega_\epsilon(x-z)dz \leq c_4 \epsilon, \quad c_4 < \infty. \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \Big| \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\sum_j \sum_{k,m} (x_k - z_k)(x_m - z_m) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k \partial z_m} \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j} \right) dz \Big| =$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \Big| \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_i \nu_i(z) \sum_j \sum_{k,m} (x_k - z_k)(x_m - z_m) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k \partial z_m} f_\epsilon(j,x,z) \times$$

$$\times \omega_\epsilon(x-z) d\beta_z \Big| \leq \frac{1}{4} \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2 \sqrt{\sum_j f_\epsilon^2(j,x,z)} \sqrt{\sum_i \nu_i^2(z)} \times$$

$$\times \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k,m} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k \partial z_m} \right)^2} d\beta_z \leq \epsilon c_5, \ c_5 < \infty. \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \Big| \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{k,m} (x_k - z_k)(x_m - z_m) \frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k \partial z_m} \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j} dz \Big| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_k (x_k - z_k)^2 \sqrt{\sum_j f_\epsilon^2(j,x,z)} \times$$

$$\sum_i \sqrt{\sum_{j,k,m} \left(\frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_k \partial z_m} \right)^2} \omega_\epsilon(x-z)dz \leq \epsilon c_6, \ c_5 < \infty. \tag{15}$$

We exploit the following relation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} =$$

$$= \left(f_\epsilon(i,x,z) f_\epsilon(j,x,z) - \frac{2\epsilon^2 \delta_{ij}}{\left(\epsilon^2 - |x-z|^2\right)^2} - \frac{8\epsilon^2 (x_i - z_i)(x_j - z_j)}{\left(\epsilon^2 - |x-z|^2\right)^3} \right) \omega_\epsilon(x-z),$$

here δ_{ij} be Kronecker's symbol,

for estimate in (16).

$$\frac{1}{12} \Big| \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s, \theta_1(x,z))}{\partial z_k \partial z_k \partial z_l} (x_k - z_k)(x_m - z_m)(x_l - z_l) \frac{\partial^2 \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} dz \Big| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{12} \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \left(\sum_m (x_m - z_m)^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k,m,l} \left(\frac{\partial^3 \sigma_{ij}(s, \theta_1(x,z))}{\partial z_k \partial z_m \partial z_l} \right)^2} \times$$

$$\times \left(\sum_i f_\epsilon^2(i,x,z) + \frac{2\epsilon^2 \sqrt{n}}{\left(\epsilon^2 - |x-z|^2\right)^2} + \frac{8\epsilon^2 \sum\limits_i (x_i - z_i)^2}{\left(\epsilon^2 - |x-z|^2\right)^3} \right) \omega_\epsilon(x-z) dz \leq \epsilon c_6,$$

$$c_6 < \infty. \tag{16}$$

Concider remaining summand in (7).

$$\frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{K_\epsilon(x)} \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left((x_k - z_k) \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(s,z)}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial \omega_\epsilon(x-z)}{\partial z_j} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)} \sum_k \sum_p \sum_j \frac{\partial b_{pj}(z)}{\partial z_k} \sum_i \nu_i(z) b_{pi}(s,z)(x_k - z_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \omega_\epsilon(x-z) d\beta_z +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\triangle_\epsilon(x)}\sum_k\sum_p\sum_j b_{pj}(s,z)\sum_i\nu_i(z)\frac{\partial b_{pi}(s,z)}{\partial z_k}(x_k-z_k)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\omega_\epsilon(x-z)d\beta_z =:$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2.$$

The first summand I_1 is estimated analogy to (11) with help condition 2 of theorem. Thus we have $I_1 = o(1)$.

We observe that by construction the points of boundary $\partial\triangle_\epsilon(x)$ of set $\triangle_\epsilon(x)$ have the following properties:

$$z \in \partial\triangle_\epsilon(x) \Rightarrow |x-z| = \epsilon \Rightarrow \omega_\epsilon(x-z) = 0$$

Now we make use of local property of surfase ∂K_ϵ for more precise representation of summand I_2 .

The variables $z_i,\ i = \overline{1,n}$ in $\triangle_\epsilon(x)$ have form $z_i = y_i,\ i \le n-1, z_n = \varphi(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})$.

Put $\hat{y} = (y_1,\dots,y_n),$ here $y_n = \varphi(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})$.

The domain $\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)$ which corresponds to $\triangle_\epsilon(x)$ has the following form

$$\bar{D}_\epsilon(x) = \{(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}) :\ |x-\hat{y}| \le \epsilon\}. \tag{17}$$

The boundary $\partial\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)$ is set of points for which in (17) the next equality is fulfilled. Let $y' = (y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})$. Thus if $y' \in \partial\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)$, then $\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y}) = 0$.

Set $\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y}) = 0$, under $y' \notin \bar{D}_\epsilon(x)$.

Thus the function $\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})$ is finite function in space R^{n-1} with support $\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)$. The following formula of integration by parts is true for such functions [8, p.106].

$$f \in C^1 \Rightarrow \int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)} f\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})dy' = -\int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)}\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}f\,dy' \quad i = \overline{1,n-1}. \tag{18}$$

Applying (18) to integration in I_2, we get

$$2I_2 =$$

$$= -\int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)} \sum_j \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left(\sum_{p,i} b_{pj}(s,\hat{y})\nu_i(\hat{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} b_{pi}(s,\hat{y}) \right) (x_k - y_k)\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})dy' +$$

$$+ \int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)} \sum_j \sum_k \sum_{p,i} b_{pj}(s,\hat{y})\nu_i(\hat{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} b_{pi}(s,\hat{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} y_k \omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})dy' =:$$

$$=: I_{21} + I_{22}.$$

To estimate of summand I_{21} with help Cauchy - Bunyakovskii's inequality we will use the condition 1 and the supposition that surface belongs to class C^2

Later on it is convenient to omit the argument of functions.

$$|I_{21}| \le \int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)} \sum_j \sum_{p,i} \left| \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left(b_{pj}\nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} b_{pi} \right) (x_k - y_k) \right| \omega_\epsilon(x-y)dy' \le$$

$$\le \int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)} \left(\sum_k (x_k - y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_j \sum_{p,i} \left(\sum_k \left\{ (\frac{\partial b_{pj}}{\partial y_j}\nu_i \frac{\partial b_{pi}}{\partial y_k})^2 + \right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. + (b_{pj}\frac{\partial \nu_i}{\partial y_j}\frac{\partial b_{pi}}{\partial y_k})^2 + (b_{pj}\nu_i \frac{\partial^2 b_{pi}}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})^2 \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})dy' \le \epsilon c_{21}.$$

Here c_{21} is bounded constant.

Combining (8)-(16) and latter one gives the following representation

$$A\eta_\epsilon(x) = -\int\limits_{\bar{D}_\epsilon(x)} \left\{ \sum_i a_i(s,\hat{y})\nu_i(\hat{y}) - \right.$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k,p,i} b_{jp}(s,\hat{y})\nu_i(\hat{y})\frac{\partial b_{pi}(s,\hat{y})}{\partial y_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}y_k\Bigg\}\omega_\epsilon(x-\hat{y})dy' + o(1). \tag{19}$$

Let $G(s,y)$ denote the second summ in braces of right part of latter equality. It takes place the following relation for new variables

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\varphi_{y_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}. \tag{20}$$

It is not hard to calculate the following equalities for partial derivatives in summands from $G(s,y)$

$$\frac{\partial b_{pi}}{\partial y_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}y_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}b_{pi}, & \text{if } j=k<n \\ 0, & \text{if } \ j\neq k,\ j<n,\ k<n \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}b_{pn}\varphi_{x_j}, & \text{if } j<n,\ k=n \\ \frac{\partial b_{pi}}{\partial y_k}\varphi_{x_k}, & \text{if } k<n,\ j=n \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}b_{pi}\sum\limits_{m=1}^{n-1}\varphi_{y_m}^2, & \text{if } j=k=n. \end{cases}$$

Now we will show that the function $G(s,y)$ coincides with the following function from condition 3 of theorem completely

$$\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{\partial b_{ki}(s,z)}{\partial z_j}\nu_i(z)b_{kj}(s,z), \quad s\geq 0; \tag{21}$$

in case when differentiation is fulfilled in coordinates $\hat{y}$.

Applying (20), we get the following equailities for differentiation in (21)

$$\frac{\partial b_{pi}}{\partial z_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}b_{pi} + \frac{\partial b_{pi}}{\partial z_n}\varphi_{x_j},, & \text{if } j<n \\ \sum\limits_{k}^{n-1}\frac{\partial b_{ip}}{\partial y_k}\varphi_{x_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{n-1}\varphi_{x_l}^2, & \text{if } j=n. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that latter one defines the summands in (21) which is identical to the summands in the $G(s,y)$.

Thus it follows from representation (19) that under conditions theorem there exists such $\epsilon^* > 0$ that the inequality $A\eta_\epsilon(x) \geq 0$ is fulfilled for all $\epsilon \leq \epsilon^*$. Theorem is prooved.

References

1. J.-P.Aubin and G.Da Prato, *Stochastic viability and invariance*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **l27** (1990), 595-694.
2. R.Buckdahn , M.Quincampoix and A.Rascanu, *Viability property for a bacward stochastic differential equation and application to partial differential equations*, Probab.Theory Relat.Fields **1l6** (2000), 485-504.
3. Il.I. Gikhman, I.E.Klychkova, *Stochastic differential equations on the embedded manifoldes*, Ukrain. math. journ. **47** (1995), 174-179.
4. A.Milian, *Invariance for stohastic equations with regular coefficients*, Stochastic Analysis and Applications **15** (1997), 91-101.
5. V.A.Gasanenko, *On invariant sets for stochastic differential equations*, Theory of stochastic processes **9(25)** (2003), 60-64.
6. G.L.Kulinich, O.V. Pereguda, *The qualitative analysis of systems stochastic diffferential Ito's equations*, Ukrain. math. journ. **52** (2000), 1251-1256.
7. I.I. Gikhman, A.V.Skorokhod, *Inroduction to theory of random processes* (1977), Nauka, Moskow, 568 p..
8. V.S.Vladimirov, *The equations of mathematical physics* (1988), Nauka, Moskow, 512 p..

Institute of Mathematics,National Academy of Science of Ukraine,Tereshchenkivska 3, 252601, Kiev, Ukraine

E-mail address: gs@imath.kiev.ua